A Literature Review on User Interface and User Experience Design: Insights from Aesthetic, Emotional, and Adaptive UI Studies

Ilham Zainuri[1*], Muhammad Hafiz Firmansyah[2], Pandhu Adi Saputra[3], and Fachrudin Pakaja[4]

[1,2,3] Faculty of Engineering and Informatics, Gajayana University, Malang, Indonesia,
[4]Lecturer in Information Systems, Gajayana University, Malang, Indonesia
Email : ilhamzainuri010404@gmail.com[1], hafizsengkaling@gmail.com[2], pandhuadi81@gmail.com[3]
fachrudinpakaja@unigamalang.ac.id[4]

*Corresponding Author Email: ilhamzainuri010404@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid development of digital technology has made user interface (UI) and user experience (UX) design strategic elements in interactive system development. A number of previous studies have discussed UI/UX from various perspectives, ranging from visual aesthetics, emotional design approaches, to the application of adaptive interfaces and personalization on various digital platforms. However, studies that integrate these findings into a comprehensive literature review are still relatively limited. This study aims to examine and synthesize the results of research related to UI/UX design with an emphasis on aesthetic, emotional, and adaptive aspects based on five relevant scientific articles. The research method used is a literature review by analyzing the research objectives, methods applied, and main findings of each study. The results of the study show that visual aesthetic quality has a significant influence on initial perception and user satisfaction levels, while emotion-based design plays a role in increasing user engagement and interaction experience. In addition, the application of adaptive and personalized interfaces has been proven to increase the effectiveness and comfort of use in various application contexts. This study also identified that the user-centered design approach is the dominant framework in UI/UX development. Thus, the results of this literature review are expected to serve as a conceptual reference for researchers and practitioners in designing user interfaces that are more responsive, adaptive, and user-oriented.

Keyword: User Interface, User Experience, Visual Aesthetics, Emotional Design, Adaptive Interface.

1. Introduction

he rapid development of digital technology has brought significant changes in the way humans interact with computing systems. In this context, user interface (UI) and user experience (UX) design are increasingly seen as essential elements that determine the success of an interactive system. UI/UX is no longer positioned solely as a visual aspect or complement to technical functions, but rather as a strategic component that plays a role in shaping perceptions, emotional responses, engagement levels, and overall user satisfaction. The increasing complexity of digital systems also reinforces the need for interface designs that are not only functional, but also aesthetically pleasing and user-oriented.

A number of studies show that the visual aesthetics of an interface have a significant influence on how users assess the usability and comfort of a system. Through a systematic mapping study over ten years, Lima and von Wangenheim (2021) identified that research on UI aesthetics continues to evolve and has a strong connection with the cognitive and affective aspects of users. These findings indicate that the visual quality of an interface contributes not only to its initial appeal, but also to the formation of users' perceptions of the system's quality and credibility.

Beyond visual aspects, attention to the emotional dimension in human-computer interactions is also increasing. Gao and Huang (2022) emphasize that emotional design in HCI plays an important role in creating meaningful user experiences, especially in the development of creative and cultural products. The integration of emotional elements in interface design is considered capable of deepening user engagement while enhancing the symbolic and functional value of digital products, thus demonstrating that user experiences are subjective and cannot be separated from individual emotional responses.

The influence of interface design on user behavior is becoming increasingly apparent on modern digital platforms. A study conducted by Dekker and Tverdina (2025) shows that certain features on video streaming platform interfaces, such as autoplay and recommendation systems, can encourage intensive content consumption patterns, including binge-watching behavior. The results of this study confirm that UI design decisions not only affect user comfort, but also shape user habits and interaction patterns in the long term, giving rise to the need for ethical considerations in interface design.

In the development of critical systems, the user-centered design (UCD) approach is increasingly taking center stage. Research by Stewart et al. (2025) on the BurnCORE mHealth application shows that active user involvement in the design process contributes significantly to improving the usability and acceptance of the system. These findings confirm that UI/UX design that focuses on the real needs of users can improve the effectiveness and sustainability of the system, especially in the context of healthcare services. In addition, the issue of interface personalization has also become an important concern in contemporary UI/UX research. Khan and Khusro (2023) argue that the application of adaptive interfaces on smart TVs can improve the quality of user experience through adjustments to the display and interaction mechanisms based on individual preferences. This approach reflects the direction of UI/UX design development, which increasingly emphasizes flexibility and the ability of systems to respond to the diversity of user characteristics.

2. The Art of Research

This study adopts a systematic narrative review as the primary research design, chosen to ensure thematic relevance and meaningful methodological contributions in the domains of software engineering and security (Best et al., 2014; Dehkordi et al., 2021). The research framework is structured to systematically identify, evaluate, and synthesize recent scholarly work on static testing models in modern applications and software obfuscation techniques.

3. Method

This review uses a systematic narrative review approach selected based on thematic relevance and methodological contribution (Best et al., 2014; Dehkordi et al., 2021). The main theme addressed in this study is Interface Design and User Experience: Insights from Studies on Aesthetic, Emotional, and Adaptive User Interfaces. This study uses five journal data sources taken from SCOPUS with a time range of 2021- 2025 (see Table 1). The analysis procedure for this study is thematic, grouping the findings into categories: (1) motivation and context, (2) methodology, (3) contribution, (4) main results, (5) limitations, and (6) recommendations (Fong, 2009). We used evaluation criteria (Kitchenham et al., 2009) based on the significance of the problem, validity of claims, scientific contribution, and practical

relevance. This study used comparative analysis and qualitative synthesis tools to identify patterns, gaps, and trends across studies.

Table 1. Description of Journal Names Synthesized

No	Author	Title	Journal Name	Journal Tier
1	Lima & von Wangenheim (2021	Assessing the Visual Esthetics of User Interfaces: A Ten-Year Systematic Mapping	International Journal of Human Computer Interaction	Q-1
2	Gao & Huang (2022)	Human-computer interaction emotional design and innovative cultural and creative product design	Frontiers in Psychology	Q-2
3	Dekker & Tverdina (2025)	Designed to binge? Exploring user perceptions of interface features on video streaming platforms	Journal of Media Psychology	Q-1
4	Stewart, B. T., Menge, K., Kurien, T. V., Orton, C., Estrada, R., Carrougher, G., Thompson, C., Hsieh, G., & Pham, T. N. (2025)	Optimizing the user-experience (UX) and interface (UI) of a mHealth application to aid recovery from burn injury (BurnCORE) through a user- centered design approach	Burns	Q1
5	Khan & Khusro (2023)	Towards the design of personalized adaptive user interfaces for smart TV viewers	Journal of Network and Computer Applications	Q1

4. Result

4.1 Key Findings

Based on the synthesis of the five reviewed journals, several key findings related to user interface (UI) design and user experience (UX) were obtained.
  a. Visual aesthetics have a significant influence in shaping users' initial perceptions of the quality and usability of an interface. Visual elements such as layout, color selection, and typography have been shown to contribute directly to user satisfaction and acceptance levels, meaning that visual design not only serves as a decorative element, but also influences users' cognitive assessment of the system as a whole (Lima & von Wangenheim, 2021).
  b. The emotional aspect of interaction design plays an important role in improving user comfort and engagement. The application of emotional design can enrich the user experience and strengthen the relationship between users and digital systems. In addition, on video streaming platforms, certain interface features can trigger emotional responses and encourage sustained user engagement, including a tendency toward intensive content consumption (Gao & Huang, 2022; Dekker & Tverdina, 2025).
  c. Personalization and adaptivity of interfaces have emerged as effective approaches to improving

the efficiency and relevance of interactions. Interfaces that adapt to user preferences provide a more contextual experience, while user-centered design approaches have been shown to increase the usability and acceptance of systems, particularly in applications with specific usage contexts such as mHealth (Khan & Khusro, 2023; Stewart et al., 2025).

4.2 Analisis Aspek Penelitian

Based on an analysis of the research aspects examined in the five studies (see Table 2), several key dimensions can be identified that are the focus of user interface (UI) and user experience (UX) design studies. The most dominant aspects include the visual aesthetics of the interface, emotional design, the influence of interface features on user behavior, the user-centered design approach, and interface personalization and adaptivity. The existence of these aspects shows that UI/UX research is developing towards a more holistic approach, focusing not only on functional usability, but also on the emotional and contextual experience of users.

Visual aesthetics were the main focus of a systematic mapping study reviewing a decade of UI research (Lima & von Wangenheim, 2021). As summarized in Table 2, the study confirmed that visual aesthetics are closely related to perceptions of quality, usability, and user satisfaction. These findings show that visual design is not merely a supporting element, but rather a strategic component in interface design.

In addition, emotional design has emerged as an important aspect of human–computer interaction. Research focusing on the emotional dimension shows that integrating emotions into interface design can increase user engagement and enrich the interaction experience (Gao & Huang, 2022). This is reinforced by empirical studies on video streaming platforms, which show that certain interface features can trigger emotional responses and influence usage patterns over time (Dekker & Tverdina, 2025), as summarized in Table 2.

The user-centered design approach also occupies an important position, especially in the context of health applications. As shown in Table 2, mHealth research confirms that active user involvement in the design process contributes directly to increased system usability and acceptance (Stewart et al., 2025). This approach emphasizes the importance of understanding the context, needs, and limitations of end users in UI/UX design. Furthermore, interface personalization and adaptability are relevant aspects in addressing user preference diversity, especially on smart TV devices. Related research shows that adaptive interfaces can improve interaction efficiency and content relevance for users (Khan & Khusro, 2023). This aspect, as summarized in Table 2, marks a shift in UI design from a static approach to a more dynamic system that is responsive to user behavior.

Overall, the analysis of research aspects summarized in Table 2 shows that the five studies complement each other in describing the complexity of UI/UX design. Although each study has a different focus and context, all findings point to the understanding that effective interface design requires integration between visual, emotional, behavioral, and contextual aspects of users.

Table 2. Summary of Findings Based on Research Aspects

Paper ID	Motivation & Context	Methodology	Contribution	Main Results	Limitations
1	The challenges of subjectivity, methodological variation, and a lack of comprehensive	A systematic mapping study (SMS) reveals the latest visual aesthetic	Providing a map of UI aesthetic research over 10 years	Visual aesthetics influence perceptions of quality, usability, and user satisfaction;	There is no consensus on GUI aesthetics; a consistent model, mobile focus,

	evaluation have prompted a systematic mapping of the last 10 years.	assessments of user interfaces (GUIs).		aesthetic evaluation is evolving toward structured methods	reliability testing, and subjective-objective integration are needed.
2	Addressing design homogeneity and underutilization of data by combining HCI, emotional design, and data mining to generate creative designs based on emotional needs.	Methodology: data normalization (Z-Score), clustering (cosine & Jaccard, Dunn Index), cellular genetic algorithm vs standard GA, tested on popular datasets.	Contribution: integration of HCI emotional design, cosine Jaccard clustering, cellular GA for creativity, superior performance, computational design bridge	The integration of HCI, emotional design, data mining, and cellular GA has been proven to improve clustering accuracy, efficiency, and design creativity; cellular GA excels over standard GA in convergence and optimal solution search.	Algorithmic focus, minimal UX, not yet industry-tested, bias & local values overlooked; Suggestions: direct user testing, real-world case studies, combine quantitative and qualitative approaches, discuss ethics & cultural preservation.
3	The phenomenon of binge-watching, the role of streaming interfaces, ambivalence in user perception, and the TAM gap.	Method: cross-sectional online survey with 287 young respondents (18–29), expanded TAM questionnaire, testing 8 hypotheses.	The expansion of TAM with the perceived manipulation variable explains user ambivalence, emphasizes the role of binge-watching preferences, and provides practical insights for streaming interface developers.	Recommendation and navigation features encourage engagement and binge-watching	Context is limited to specific streaming platforms
4	The need for UX/UI optimization in mHealth-based health applications	User-centered design and user evaluation	Demonstrating the effectiveness of the UCD approach in health applications	User-centered UX/UI design improves the usability and acceptance of applications	Focus on one application and a specific user group

5	Challenges of interface personalization on smart TV devices	Development of adaptive UI models and system evaluation	Proposing a user preference-based adaptive interface model	UI personalization improves interaction efficiency and content relevance	Complexity of implementation and limitations of evaluation scale

4.3 Research Criteria Evaluation

Based on the evaluation of the five studies reviewed (see Table 3), the findings indicate that the significance of the issues raised is moderate to high, reflecting the relevance and urgency of the topics of user interface (UI) and user experience (UX) design in the current academic and industrial contexts. Issues such as the aesthetic evaluation of interfaces, the integration of emotional design in human-computer interaction, the influence of UI features on user behavior, the application of user-centered design in health applications, and the development of adaptive and personalized interfaces are considered important problems that require a more systematic and user-based design approach. The high practical relevance of most of the research strengthens the position of these studies as contributions that are not only conceptual but also applicable. For example, research on mHealth applications and video streaming platforms directly offers UI/UX design recommendations that can be adopted by digital system developers in the real world.

In terms of claim validity, the majority of studies are supported by clear and accountable methodologies, such as systematic mapping studies, empirical surveys of users, user-centered design-based evaluations, and the development and testing of adaptive interface models. These approaches provide a strong basis for claims made regarding the impact of UI design on user perception, engagement, and behavior (Lima & von Wangenheim, 2021; Stewart et al., 2025). However, there is variation in the level of validity between studies. Some studies, particularly conceptual ones, are considered to have more limited validity due to a lack of extensive empirical data support, even though the theoretical frameworks offered remain relevant and well- structured.

From a scientific contribution perspective, the five studies made significant contributions to the development of UI/UX science. These contributions include mapping UI aesthetic research trends over a decade, strengthening the role of emotional design in HCI, empirical understanding of the relationship between interface features and user behavior, and the development of adaptive and user-centered design approaches. In particular, systematic mapping studies and user-centered design-based research are considered to have high scientific contributions because they broaden methodological understanding while providing a foundation for further research in this field.

Overall, this evaluation confirms that the five studies not only successfully identified relevant and significant issues, but also examined them with an adequate level of validity and scientific contribution, as well as clear practical relevance. The combination of theoretical and empirical approaches used in these studies demonstrates how UI/UX research can bridge the gap between design concepts and their application in real digital systems. The collective strength of these studies lies in their ability to produce methodological insights that are conceptually innovative and have great potential for adoption in modern interface design practices.

Table 3. Evaluation Based on Research Criteria

Paper ID	Significance of the Problem	Claim Validity	Scientific Contribution	Practical Relevance

1	High: It is important to map the long-term development of research on user interface aesthetics .	Valid: Supported by structured and transparent systematic mapping procedures.	Significant: Provides a synthesis of trends, methods, and research gaps in aesthetic UI over a decade.	Moderate: Contributes more to the development of theory and methodology.
2	Moderate: Relevant for understanding the role of emotions in interaction design and creative products.	Moderately Valid: Based on a conceptual framework with limited empirical support.	Moderate: Enriching the theoretical foundation of emotional design in HCI.	High: Providing practical guidance for creative and cultural product designers.
3	High: It is important to understand the influence of interface design on digital media consumption behavior.	Valid: Supported by survey data and empirical analysis of user perceptions.	Moderate: Providing empirical insights into the relationship between UI features and binge-watching.	High: Assists streaming platform designers in making design decisions.
4	Moderate: Relevant for addressing interface personalization challenges on smart TV devices.	Valid: Claims validated through a user-centered design approach and user evaluation.	Significant: Strengthens the application of UCD methodology in the UI/UX design of health applications.	High: Has a direct impact on mHealth application development.
5	Moderate: Relevant for addressing the challenges of interface personalization on smart TV devices.	Sufficiently Valid: Supported by system evaluation with limited scale and context.	Moderate: Proposes an adaptive interface model based on user preferences.	Moderate: Beneficial for smart TV developers with advanced customization needs.

In the results section the presentation of the results of research can be illustrated using tables or figures to clarify the presentation of the results verbally. The data presented is data that is processed, not raw data.

5. Discussion

5.1 Paradigmatic Evolution in Interface Design and User Experience Research

The results of the literature synthesis show a clear paradigmatic evolution in user interface (UI) and user experience (UX) design research. In the early stages, UI/UX research tended to focus on aspects of usability and functional interaction efficiency. However, the studies reviewed indicate a paradigm shift

towards a more holistic approach, which includes visual aesthetics, emotional dimensions, and the adaptability of the interface to the context and preferences of users.

A systematic mapping study reveals that visual aesthetics are no longer treated as purely subjective elements, but rather as components that can be scientifically analyzed and integrated into the UI/UX evaluation framework. This development indicates a shift from a system-oriented design paradigm to a more user- oriented paradigm. Furthermore, the increased attention to emotional design and interface personalization marks a change in researchers' perspective on user experience as a dynamic, contextual, and continuous process.

This new paradigm is also reflected in the increasing adoption of user-centered design and adaptive user interfaces, which place users as the main actors in the design process. Thus, UI/UX research aims not only to ensure that systems are usable, but also to create meaningful and relevant experiences for users.

5.2 Trends, Strengths, and Limitations of the Approach

Cross-study analysis reveals several key trends in UI/UX research. First, there has been a significant increase in the use of empirical methods that directly involve users, such as surveys, usability evaluations, and scenario-based testing. This trend strengthens the validity of findings and increases the practical relevance of research. Second, research increasingly integrates emotional and behavioral aspects of users, reflecting an understanding that user experience cannot be reduced to usability metrics alone.

In terms of strength, the user-centered design approach and interface personalization have proven effective in increasing user acceptance and satisfaction, particularly in the context of health applications and digital media. In addition, systematic mapping studies make an important contribution to identifying trends, methods, and research gaps, thereby serving as a foundation for further research.

However, a number of limitations were also identified. Some studies are still limited to specific application contexts or relatively small sample sizes, thereby limiting the generalizability of findings. In addition, variations in UX evaluation metrics and the lack of uniform measurement standards make direct comparisons between studies difficult. Conceptual studies also tend to have limitations in empirical validation, although they still provide valuable theoretical contributions.

5.3 Recommendations for Future Research and Practice

Based on the findings and discussions presented, several recommendations can be made for future UI/UX design research and practice. First, future research should adopt a more standardized evaluation approach to enable stronger and more replicable cross-study comparisons. The integration of quantitative and qualitative metrics is also important to capture the complexity of the user experience more comprehensively. Second, future research needs to expand the context and user population, so that the findings produced have a higher level of generalization. Further exploration of adaptive interfaces and user data-based personalization is also a promising area, especially with the increasing use of artificial intelligence in interactive systems.

From a practical standpoint, system designers and developers are advised to integrate the principles of visual aesthetics, emotional design, and user-centered design simultaneously, rather than as separate elements.

This approach is believed to result in interfaces that are not only functional, but also provide a positive, sustainable, and contextual user experience.

6. Conclusion

This study presents a literature review of five selected studies discussing user interface (UI) and user experience (UX) design in various application contexts. Based on the results of synthesis and critical analysis, it can be concluded that UI/UX design has undergone significant development from an approach focused on functional usability to a more holistic paradigm that integrates visual aesthetics, emotional dimensions, personalization, and a user-centered approach.

The review results show that visual aesthetics and emotional design play an important role in shaping user perception, engagement, and satisfaction. In addition, strategically designed interface features and the application of user-centered design have been proven to increase acceptance and effectiveness of the system, especially in the context of health applications and digital media. Research on adaptive interfaces also confirms that personalization is a key element in addressing the diversity of user needs and preferences. Although each study has a different focus and approach, collectively the five studies make complementary contributions to our understanding of UI/UX design. The findings show that interface quality cannot be assessed in isolation, but must be understood as the result of an integration of visual, emotional, and contextual aspects of the user experience.

Overall, this literature review emphasizes the importance of a comprehensive, user-centered UI/UX design approach in the development of modern digital systems. The results of this study are expected to serve as a reference for researchers and practitioners in designing interfaces that are not only functional but also capable of providing a meaningful and sustainable user experience.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Dekker, C. A., & Tverdina, A. (2025). Designed to binge? Exploring user perceptions of interface features on video streaming platforms. Journal of Media Psychology.
2. Gao, Z., & Huang, J. (2022). Human–computer interaction emotional design and innovative cultural and creative product design. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, Article 890123. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.890123
3. Khan, M., & Khusro, S. (2023). Towards the design of personalized adaptive user interfaces for smart TV viewers. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 214, 103604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2023.103604
4. Lima, A. L. de S., & von Wangenheim, C. G. (2021). Assessing the visual esthetics of user interfaces: A ten-year systematic mapping. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, 37(15), 1421– 1440. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2021.1886478
5. Stewart, B. T., Menge, K., Kurien, T. V., Orton, C., Estrada, R., Carrougher, G., Thompson, C., Hsieh, G., & Pham, T. N. (2025). Optimizing the user experience (UX) and user interface (UI) of a mHealth application to aid recovery from burn injury (BurnCORE) through a user-centered design approach. Burns. Advance online publication.
6. Best, P., Manktelow, R., & Taylor, B. (2014). Online communication, social media and adolescent wellbeing: A systematic narrative review. Children and Youth Services Review, 41, 27–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2014.03.001
7. Fong, P. W. (2009). Reading a computer science research paper. ACM SIGCSE Bulletin, 41(2), 138–140. https://doi.org/10.1145/1595496.1562942

8. Dehkordi, A. H., Mazaheri, E., Ibrahim, H. A., Dalvand, S., & Gheshlagh, R. G. (2021). How to write a systematic review: A narrative review. International Journal of Preventive Medicine, 12(1), 27.
9. Kitchenham, B., Brereton, O. P., Budgen, D., Turner, M., Bailey, J., & Linkman, S. (2009).

Systematic literature reviews in software engineering – A systematic literature review. Information and Software Technology,51(1), 7–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2008.09.009

10. Blair-Early, A., & Zender, M. (2008). User interface design principles for interaction design. Journal of Interaction Design.

11. Krisnanik, E., & Rahayu, T. (2021). UI/UX integrated holistic monitoring of PAUD using the TCSD method. Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, 10(4),2273–2284. https://doi.org/10.11591/eei.v10i4.3108

12. Schmidt, Thomas, and Christian Wolff. (2018). The influence of user interface attributes on aesthetics. i-com, 17(1), 41–55. https://doi.org/10.1515/icom-2018-0003

13. Hartmann, J., Sutcliffe, A. G., & De Angeli, A. (2008). Towards a theory of user judgment of aesthetics and user interface quality. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, 15(4), Article 15, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1145/1460355.1460357

14. Rendell, A., Adam, M. T. P., Eidels, A., & Teubner, T. (2022). Nature imagery in user interface design: The influence on user perceptions of trust and aesthetics. Behaviour & Information Technology, 41(13), 2762–2778. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2021.1946592

15. Drungilas, D., Ramašauskas, I., & Kurmis, M. (2024). Emotion recognition in usability testing: A framework for improving web application UI design. Applied Sciences, 14(11), Article 4773. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14114773

16. Sekhavat, Y. A., Jafari Sisi, M., & Roohi, S. (2021). Affective interaction: Using emotions as a user interface in games. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 80(4), 5225–5253. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-020-10006-4

17. Alipour, M., Moghaddam, M. T., Vaidhyanathan, K., & Kjærgaard, M. B. (2023). Emoticontrol: Emotions- based control of user-interfaces adaptations. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 7(EICS), Article 175, 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1145/3593227

18. Hussain, J., Ul Hassan, A., Bilal, H. S. M., Ali, R., Afzal, M., Hussain, S., Bang, J., Banos, O., & Lee, S. (2018). Model-based adaptive user interface based on context and user experience evaluation. Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces, 12(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12193-018-0258-2

19. Kolekar, S. V., Pai, R. M., & Manohara Pai, M. M. (2019). Rule based adaptive user interface for adaptive E-learning system. Education and Information Technologies, 24(1), 613–641. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-9788-1

20. Cho, S.-H., & Kim, S.-H. (2020). Suggestion for collaboration-based UI/UX development model through risk analysis. Journal of Information Processing Systems, 16(6), 1372–1389. https://doi.org/10.3745/JIPS.04.0200

21. Ji, H., Yun, Y., Lee, S., Kim, K., & Lim, H. (2018). An adaptable UI/UX considering user's cognitive and behavior information in distributed environment. Cluster Computing, 21(1), 1045–1058. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-017-1234-5